	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/503,140	HAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2133
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Response to Non-Final</u>		
(1) Joseph D. Torres.	(3)	
(2) Robert Depke	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 January 2004	Time: <u>4:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Attorney left a message requesting a Formal Written Restriction		DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)